W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/771,934	MAILLIE, DAVID FRANCIS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian P. Mruk	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a i) or other appropriate communication RIGHTS. This application is subject	application. If not included on will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>March 28 2005</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>4-6</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	er.	
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority units a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have. 4. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have. 5. ☐ Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submined in INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give. 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") muically including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicial such as the application number (see 37 CFR deach sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the capture of the proper No./Mail Date 7. ☐ DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of the priority documents and the proper No./Mail Date Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" in the priority documents have a complex of the priorit	e been received. e been received in Application No. comments have been received in this of this communication to file a replayed. MENT of this application. Initted. Note the attached EXAMINE res reason(s) why the oath or declayed reson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC). Is Amendment / Comment or in the 1.84(c)) should be written on the draw the header according to 37 CFR 1.12.	s national stage application from the y complying with the requirements R'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF ration is deficient. D-948) attached Office action of wings in the front (not the back) of 1(d). must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☑ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☑ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	6. ⊠ Interview Summar Paper No./Mail D 08), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amend 8. ⊠ Examiner's Staten	ate <u>20050806</u> .
of Biological Material	9. 🗌 Other	Brian P. Mruk

Brian P. Mruk Primary Examiner Tech Center 1700



Art Unit: 1751

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with David Maillie on August 3, 2005.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS:

Cancel Claims 1-3

Please add the following new claims:

- ---4. A headlight lens cleaning and restoring kit comprising:
 - A) a first solution comprising a degreaser diluted with water in a ratio of 1:20;
 - B) a second solution comprising 53-56% mineral spirits, 1-3% butanol, and at least one component selected from the group consisting of gloss spar varnish and acrylic urethane; and
 - C) a third aqueous solution comprising a polymer sealant/wax containing silicone and at least one component selected from the group consisting of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and polychlorotrifluoroethylene (PCTFE).

Art Unit: 1751

5. A headlight lens cleaning and restoring kit comprising:

- A) a first solution comprising a degreaser diluted with water in a ratio of 1:20;
- B) a second solution comprising 53-56% mineral spirits, 1-3% butanol, and at least one component selected from the group consisting of gloss spar varnish and acrylic urethane;
- C) a third aqueous solution comprising a polymer sealant/wax containing silicone and at least one component selected from the group consisting of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and polychlorotrifluoroethylene (PCTFE);
- D) one vinyl or blue nitrile glove;
- E) two pieces of 800-2000 grit wet or dry sandpaper;
- F) one foam applicator pad; and
- G) two lint free shop towels.
- 6. A method for cleaning and restoring an automotive headlight lens comprising the following steps:
 - A) applying a first solution comprising a degreaser diluted with water in a ratio of 1:20 to the headlight lens;
 - B) applying water to the headlight lens and allowing the headlight lens to dry;
 - C) applying a second solution comprising 53-56% mineral spirits, 1-3% butanol, and at least one component selected from the group consisting of gloss spar varnish and acrylic urethane to the headlight lens and allowing the headlight lens to dry; and

Art Unit: 1751

D) applying a third aqueous solution comprising a polymer sealant/wax containing silicone and at least one component selected from the group consisting of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and polychlorotrifluoroethylene (PCTFE) to the headlight lens and allowing the headlight lens to dry.---

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Torres Moreno, U.S. Patent No. 6,831,049, discloses an ultra bright headlight and tail light cleaner comprising 5% kerosene, 10% coco fatty acid diethanolamide, 21% aluminum oxide, 3% ammonia solution, 1% water, and 60% white spirits comprised of 20% of white silica and 80% of hydrate calcium (see abstract and col. 2, lines 21-34). However, patentee differs from applicant in that Torres Moreno does not teach or suggest in general a headlight lens cleaning and restoring kit comprising a first solution comprising a degreaser diluted with water in a ratio of 1:20, a second solution comprising 53-56% mineral spirits, 1-3% butanol, and at least one component selected from the group consisting of gloss spar varnish and acrylic urethane, and a third aqueous solution comprising a polymer sealant/wax containing silicone and at least one component selected from the group consisting of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and polychlorotrifluoroethylene (PCTFE), as required by applicant in the instant invention.

Accordingly, the claims viewed as a whole would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention after viewing the prior art of record.

Art Unit: 1751

4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-1321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

273-8300.

Brian Mruk

August 6, 2005

Brian P. Mruk Primary Examiner

Bron P. Muke

Tech Center 1700